

# Is Sertraline Treatment or Depression Remission in Depressed Alzheimer Patients Associated with Improved Caregiver Well Being? Depression in Alzheimer's Disease Study 2

*Crystal V. Flynn Longmire, Ph.D., M.S.C.R., Lea T. Drye, Ph.D., Constantine E. Frangakis, Ph.D., Barbara K. Martin, Ph.D., Curtis L. Meinert, Ph.D., Jacobo E. Mintzer, M.D., M.B.A., Cynthia A. Munro, Ph.D., Anton P. Porsteinsson, M.D., Peter V. Rabins, M.D., M.P.H., Paul B. Rosenberg, M.D., Lon S. Schneider, M.D., M.S., Daniel Weintraub, M.D., Constantine G. Lyketsos, M.D., M.H.S., for the DIADS-2 Research Group*

---

**Objective:** We wanted to assess if sertraline treatment (versus placebo) or remission of depression at 12 weeks (versus nonremission) in Alzheimer patients is associated with improved caregiver well being. **Methods:** We conducted a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of the efficacy and safety of sertraline for the treatment of depression in individuals with Alzheimer disease in five clinical research sites across the United States. Participants were caregivers of patients enrolled in the Depression in Alzheimer's Disease Study 2 (N = 131). All caregivers received standardized psychosocial support throughout the study. Caregiver outcome measures included depression (Beck Depression Inventory), distress (Neuropsychiatric Inventory), burden (Zarit Burden Interview), and quality of life (Medical Outcomes Study Short Form Health Survey). **Results:** Fifty-nine percent of caregivers were spouses, 63.4% were women, and 64.1% were white. Caregivers of patients in both treatment groups had significant reductions in distress scores over the 24-week study period, but there was not a greater benefit for caregivers of patients taking sertraline. However,

---

Received December 15, 2011; revised February 11, 2013; accepted February 15, 2013. From the Medical University of South Carolina, Department of Neurosciences (CVFL), Charleston, SC; Medical University of South Carolina, College of Health Sciences (JEM), Charleston, SC; Johns Hopkins University, Bloomberg School of Public Health (LTD, CEF, BKM, CLM, CGL), Baltimore, MD; Ralph H. Johnson Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Psychiatry Division (JEM), Charleston, SC; Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine (CAM, PVR, PBR, CGL), Baltimore, MD; University of Rochester School of Medicine (APP), Rochester, NY; University of Southern California, Keck School of Medicine (LSS), Los Angeles, CA; University of Southern California, Department of Psychiatry and the Behavioral Sciences (LSS), Los Angeles, CA; University of Southern California, Department of Neurology (LSS), Los Angeles, CA; University of Southern California, Leonard Davis School of Gerontology (LSS), Los Angeles, CA; University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine (DW), Philadelphia, PA; Philadelphia Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Parkinson's Disease Research, Education and Clinical Center (DW), Philadelphia, PA; Philadelphia Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center (DW), Philadelphia, PA. Send correspondence and reprint requests to Crystal V. Flynn Longmire, Ph.D., M.S.C.R., Medical University of South Carolina, Department of Neurosciences, Alzheimer's Research and Clinical Programs, 5900 Core Rd., Ste. 203, N. Charleston, SC 29406. e-mail: [crystalflynn@hotmail.com](mailto:crystalflynn@hotmail.com)

© 2014 American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2013.02.014>

*caregivers of patients whose depression was in remission at week 12 had greater declines in distress scores over the 24 weeks than caregivers of patients whose depression did not remit by week 12. Conclusion: Patient treatment with sertraline was not associated with significantly greater reductions in caregiver distress than placebo treatment. Distress but not level of depression or burden lessened for all caregivers regardless of remission status and even more so for those who cared for patients whose depression remitted. Results imply an interrelationship between caregiver distress and patient psychiatric outcomes. (Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2014; 22:14–24)*

**Key Words:** Sertraline, depression, Alzheimer disease, caregivers, DIADS-2

## INTRODUCTION

It has been estimated that over 5 million Americans have Alzheimer disease (AD).<sup>1</sup> Since people are living longer and the risk of AD increases exponentially with age, the number of AD cases is also expected to increase.<sup>2</sup> By 2050, the number of people with AD is projected to reach 16 million in the United States and 106 million worldwide.<sup>3</sup>

AD is characterized by gradual cognitive deterioration followed by functional decline, decreased quality of life, and loss of independence. Patients often need caregivers to assist them with day-to-day living activities. Accordingly, as the number of older adults with AD increases, so will the number of caregivers. The social, economic, and health effects of caring for adults with dementia have been well documented.<sup>4–7</sup>

Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) such as depression are common in persons with AD.<sup>8</sup> Up to 90% develop at least one NPS over the course of the disease.<sup>9,10</sup> More specifically, 10%–24% of AD patients develop major depression, and an additional 40%–50% have milder depressive symptoms.<sup>11–13</sup> Depression of AD (dAD) has been associated with poorer patient quality of life,<sup>8,14</sup> more rapid cognitive decline,<sup>8,15</sup> poorer functioning,<sup>8,16,17</sup> earlier entry into nursing homes,<sup>8,18</sup> and relatively higher mortality.<sup>8</sup>

Depression in AD patients also has been associated with more caregiver stress,<sup>19</sup> depression,<sup>8,20,21</sup> burden,<sup>8,21</sup> and distress.<sup>22</sup> Thus, although dementia caregiving can be challenging already, there are additional negative effects on the caregiver if the patient is also depressed.<sup>23</sup> In previous studies,

patient depression has been shown to be one of the “most consistent and powerful predictors of psychological morbidity”<sup>22(p.248)</sup> in caregivers, and 75%–100% of caregivers of depressed AD patients were found to be depressed also.<sup>22,24</sup>

Psychological interventions for patients to improve symptoms related to dAD have been developed as well as interventions for caregivers.<sup>25,26</sup> In particular, Teri and colleagues<sup>27,28</sup> developed behavioral treatment and caregiver training programs to address the needs of AD patients with depression that also have been related to positive, lasting effects in caregiver outcomes. Other researchers have found aerobic exercise to be related to a reduction in NPS in Alzheimer patients as well as attenuation of caregiver burden.<sup>29</sup>

Unlike nonpharmacologic interventions, fewer controlled trials that have been conducted for feasibility and effectiveness of pharmacologic interventions in dAD have included caregiver outcomes.<sup>30</sup> Because less is known about the effects of dAD pharmacologic treatments on caregivers, inclusion of mood and burden outcomes for caregivers was an important aspect of the Depression in Alzheimer’s Disease Study 2 (DIADS-2) design<sup>31</sup> and is the primary focus of this report. Furthermore, the literature has less discussion of how improvements in patient symptoms relate to improvements in caregiver outcomes,<sup>24,28–30</sup> and more is needed to answer such questions.

Previous reports of results from DIADS-2, a randomized controlled trial of sertraline for dAD,<sup>32–34</sup> indicated no effect of sertraline on patient-centered outcomes. Nonetheless, we extended these

observations to caregiver outcomes via two a priori hypotheses. First, because the main focus of the DIADS-2 project was to examine the effects of sertraline, one of our original aims was that sertraline treatment would improve caregiver outcomes compared with placebo. Earlier descriptions of DIADS-2 conceptualize sertraline treatment as directly related to patient depression reduction. Here, a secondary aim was to evaluate whether patient depression reduction (i.e., remission), regardless of the mechanism through which it might occur, would benefit caregivers. Specific caregiver outcomes evaluated were depression, distress, burden, and quality of life.

---

## METHODS

### Design

DIADS-2 was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multisite clinical trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of sertraline for the treatment of dAD patients. There were two treatment groups: sertraline (target dose 100 mg/day) + psychosocial treatment and placebo + psychosocial treatment. Potential participants were recruited from a variety of clinical settings and from multiple sites across the United States. To be eligible, participants had to have dementia due to AD and meet the criteria for dAD. Study participants who did not improve (remission of depression) by week 12 had the option to continue randomized study treatment or to begin a treatment plan based on doctor, patient, and caregiver collaboration. Remission in patients was defined as simultaneously meeting both modified Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study Clinical Global Impression of Change score of no more than 2 (corresponding to moderate or marked improvement in depressive symptoms from baseline) and Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia score of no more than 6. Study methods were reported in greater detail previously.<sup>31</sup>

### Procedures

All participants were required to have a primary caregiver who also agreed to accompany them at study visits. Caregivers provided information about patients and their own psychological and physical

health. Caregiver outcomes were assessed at baseline and at weeks 8, 16, and 24. Participants, regardless of treatment assignment, and caregivers were provided a psychosocial intervention. At baseline, caregivers received educational materials such as dementia care handouts on various topics (e.g., wandering). Each month there were scheduled opportunities for caregivers, and sometimes patients, to seek advice from or ask questions of a study clinician. Sessions included a patient–caregiver supportive care plan that was reviewed throughout the study. Caregivers also received 24-hour access via pager to the on-call nurse or physician in case of any emergencies that might occur after office hours.

### Caregiver Outcome Measures

*Depression.* The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)<sup>35</sup> is composed of 21 questions each assessing a specific symptom of depression. The sum of BDI item scores indicates depression severity. A score of more than 20 suggests clinical depression. The BDI has been extensively tested for validity and reliability.

*Distress.* The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI)<sup>36</sup> was developed to assess NPS in dementia patients. It evaluates 12 NPS common in dementia. NPI also assesses the amount of caregiver distress associated with each of the neuropsychiatric disorders. Caregiver distress caused by each symptom is scored from 0 (no distress) to 5 (extremely distressing). A total NPI score and a total caregiver distress score (NPI-Distress) are calculated, in addition to scores for the individual symptom domains. Validity and reliability of the NPI are established. Only the distress scores were considered in this study.

*Burden.* The Zarit Burden Interview was used to assess severity of burden experienced by caregivers of adults with dementia.<sup>37</sup> The 22-item version was used in this study. Twenty-one items are designed to measure several aspects of burden, whereas Item 22 is a global measure of burden. The items are scored from 0 (never) to 4 (nearly always), with higher scores indicating higher burden.

*Quality of life.* The Medical Outcomes Study Short Form Health Survey (SF-12)<sup>38</sup> is a 12-item subset of the SF-36 that measures eight domains of health. It is a brief, reliable measure of overall health status. Seven questions relate to physical health (SF-12-Physical) and five relate to psychological well being

(SF-12-Mental). Responses to questions include yes or no and 3- to 5-point Likert scales. Higher scores indicate higher reported quality of life.

### Analysis

Missing patient mood and caregiver outcome data were imputed using the method of multiple imputation. Prediction models of the missing data were estimated based on available baseline and follow-up data, and these models were used to impute the missing outcomes five times. The results of the five imputations were synthesized using simple combination rules to yield estimates of the comparisons.<sup>39,40</sup>

Analyses of treatment effects on caregiver outcomes were performed according to original treatment assignment (intention-to-treat; regardless of changes in treatment status at week 12). The medians of the caregiver outcome scores at baseline and at weeks 8, 16, and 24 were compared between the two patient treatment groups. Analyses of the association of patient remission status at week 12 with the trajectory of caregiver outcomes were performed in a similar manner. Patient remission status at week 12 was described earlier (see Design). The standard errors of medians were calculated by

ordinary, nonparametric bootstrapping without bias correction using 2,000 iterations.

Scores of caregiver outcomes over the 24 weeks were compared using mixed effects models, allowing a random intercept and slope for each caregiver. Although mixed models do not require complete data, they do provide a method of adjusting for the multiple observations for each participant. Transformations of the outcomes and predictors were used when needed (i.e., when the outcome was not normally distributed or the relationship between the predictor and outcome was not linear over time). Statistical analyses and graphics were performed using R version 2.9.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). No adjustments for multiple testing were made to p values. The mixed models accounted for multiple observations for each participant.

## RESULTS

### Description of Patients

The flow of participants through the study (Consort Diagram) has been published in prior DIADS-2 reports.<sup>33,34</sup> To summarize, seven participants from the sertraline group and seven participants from the

**TABLE 1. Caregiver Demographics by Patient Treatment Group**

	All (N = 131)	Sertraline (N = 67)	Placebo (N = 64)
Relationship to patient (% of group)			
Spouse or significant other	59.6	58.2	60.9
Sibling	3.1	4.5	1.6
Son/son-in-law/daughter/daughter-in-law	26.7	22.4	31.3
Grandchild	2.3	1.5	3.1
Parent/parent-in-law	0.8	1.5	0
Paid caregiver	3.1	4.5	1.6
Other	4.6	7.5	1.6
Age, mean yr (SD)	64.6 (15.0)	64.2 (15.8)	65.0 (14.2)
Gender (% of group)			
Female	63.4	56.7	70.3
Male	36.6	43.3	29.7
Ethnicity (% of group)			
White, non-Hispanic	64.1	68.7	59.4
African American	23.7	22.4	25.0
Hispanic/Latino	10.7	7.46	14.1
Asian	1.5	1.5	1.6
Marital status (% of group)			
Married	78.6	82.1	75.0
Widowed	3.1	1.5	4.7
Divorced/separated	8.4	6.0	10.9
Never married	9.9	10.5	9.4
Education, mean yr (SD)	13.9 (4.4)	14.7 (4.4)	13.1 (4.4)

TABLE 2. Caregiver Outcome Measures by Patient Treatment Group for Each Week of Assessment

Time	Caregiver Outcome								
	Depression (BDI) <sup>a</sup>		Distress (NPI-Distress) <sup>b</sup>		Burden (ZBI) <sup>c</sup>		Quality of Life <sup>d</sup>		
	Sertraline	Placebo	Sertraline	Placebo	Sertraline	Placebo	Sertraline	Placebo	
Baseline	6 (5-7)	6.5 (4-9)	19 (16.5-22.5)	19 (15-23)	24 (20-28)	23 (17-29)	46 (42-50.5)	53 (49-56)	54 (50-58)
Week 8	5 (2.5-7.5)	5 (3-7)	9 (5-13)	13 (9-17)	23 (17-29)	21 (15-27)	49 (45-52)	49 (44-54)	54 (51.5-56)
Week 16	6 (4-8)	4 (2-6)	8 (5-11)	11 (8-14)	25 (22-28)	20 (16-24)	46 (42-51)	51 (47-55)	54 (50-57)
Week 24	6 (4-8)	4 (2-6)	11 (8-14)	9 (5-13)	24 (19-29)	23.5 (18-29)	47 (43-51)	52.5 (49-56)	54 (51-56.5)

Notes: Values are medians, with 95% CIs in parentheses. Standard errors for medians calculated by bootstrapping. The results from all five imputations were combined.  
<sup>a</sup>Caregiver depression as rated by the BDI; higher scores indicate more depressive symptoms.  
<sup>b</sup>Caregiver distress as rated by the NPI; higher scores indicate greater reported caregiver distress.  
<sup>c</sup>Caregiver burden as rated by the Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI); higher scores indicate greater reported burden of caregiving.  
<sup>d</sup>Caregiver quality of life as rated by the SF-12; higher scores indicate higher reported quality of life.

placebo group were lost to follow-up by week 24. This left 67 patients assigned to sertraline and 64 to placebo. The median age of the participants was 79 years, and 54% were women. Sixty-seven percent were non-Hispanic white, 21% were black, 11% were Hispanic/Latino, and 1 participant was Asian. The patients had a median Mini-Mental State Exam score of 20.

Caregiver Demographics

For each patient there was also a caregiver such that the total number of caregivers was also 131. The distribution of the relationships of caregivers to patients was similar in the sertraline and placebo groups; most caregivers were the patient’s spouse (58.2% and 60.9%, respectively) (Table 1).

Effect of Patient Treatment Assignment on Caregiver Outcomes

Table 2 shows median caregiver outcome scores for each patient treatment group at baseline and at weeks 8, 16, and 24. The baseline caregiver depression, distress, burden, and quality of life scores were similar in the sertraline and placebo groups. Burden and quality of life scores changed very little over the course of the 24 weeks in both treatment groups.

Table 3 shows the results of the mixed effects models of change in caregiver outcomes over time by treatment group. Distress scores decreased significantly in both treatment groups over 24 weeks as shown by the negative placebo change in slope estimated as -0.19 (95% confidence interval [CI]: -0.26-0.12; t = 2.43, df = 915, p <0.01) combined with the negative difference between the two treatment groups. However, the difference in the rate of decline (estimated as -0.08; 95% CI: -0.18-0.02) did not differ significantly between treatments (t = -1.59, df = 915, p = 0.11). Caregiver depression scores in the placebo group decreased over the 24 weeks (change in scores coefficient: -0.02; 95% CI: -0.03 to -0.01; t = -3.14, df = 391, p <0.01). The change in the placebo group was greater than the change in the sertraline group per the positive difference in slopes between the two groups (difference coefficient: 0.02; 95% CI: 0-0.03; t = 2.39, df = 391, p = 0.02). There were no differences in caregiver burden or quality of life over time or by treatment (for estimates, see Table 3). In essence,

**TABLE 3. Regression Coefficients (95% CI) for Differences by Patient Treatment Assignment (Sertraline vs. Placebo) on Caregiver Outcomes at Baseline and Week 24**

Model Variable	Caregiver Outcomes									
	Depression (BDI) <sup>a</sup>		Distress (NPI-Distress) <sup>b</sup>		Burden (ZBI) <sup>c</sup>		Quality of Life <sup>d</sup>			
	Coefficient	p	Coefficient	p	Coefficient	p	Physical (SF-12-Physical)		Mental (SF-12-Mental)	
							Coefficient	p	Coefficient	p
Baseline scores for caregivers of placebo group (Intercept)	1.53 (1.02–2.03)	<0.01	4.49 (3.83–5.15)	<0.01	22.84 (15.87–29.81)	<0.01	47.08 (41.34–52.81)	<0.01	51.60 (46.48–56.72)	<0.01
Difference in baseline scores between caregiver groups (sertraline – placebo)	–0.06 (–0.37–0.25)	0.71	0.09 (–0.33–0.50)	0.68	1.11 (–3.38–5.61)	0.63	–1.50 (–5.07–2.06)	0.41	–0.30 (–4.04–3.43)	0.87
Linear slope over 24 weeks (change in scores) for placebo group	–0.02 (–0.03 to –0.01)	<0.01	–0.19 (–0.26 to –0.12)	<0.01	–0.06 (–0.17–0.05)	0.29	–0.02 (–0.13–0.10)	0.77	–0.01 (–0.13–0.11)	0.88
Difference in slopes between groups (sertraline – placebo)	0.02 (0.00–0.03)	0.02	–0.08 (–0.18–0.02)	0.11	0.03 (–0.13–0.19)	0.71	–0.04 (–0.20–0.13)	0.64	0.03 (–0.14–0.21)	0.70

Notes: Estimation by mixed model regression with random intercept and slope for participant; p values are from fixed effects t tests. Models controlled for years of education of the patient.

<sup>a</sup>Caregiver depression as rated by the BDI; higher scores indicate more reported depressive symptoms. A log transformation of the outcome was used for analysis.

<sup>b</sup>Caregiver distress as rated by the NPI; higher scores indicate greater reported caregiver distress. Square root transformations of the outcome and the time predictor were used for analysis.

<sup>c</sup>Caregiver burden as rated by the Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI); higher scores indicate more reported burden of caregiving.

<sup>d</sup>Caregiver quality of life as rated by the SF-12; higher scores indicate higher reported quality of life.

TABLE 4. Caregiver Outcome Measures by Patient Week 12 Remission Status for Each Week of Assessment

Time	Caregiver Outcome						Quality of Life <sup>d</sup>			
	Depression (BDI) <sup>a</sup>		Distress (NPL-Distress) <sup>b</sup>		Burden (ZBI) <sup>c</sup>		Physical (SF-12-Physical)		Mental (SF-12-Mental)	
	Remission	No remission	Remission	No remission	Remission	No remission	Remission	No remission	Remission	No remission
Baseline	5 (2.5–7)	6 (5–8)	15 (11.5–18)	19 (17–21)	21 (14–27.5)	24 (21–7.5)	54 (50–58.5)	49 (44–54)	55 (52–59)	52 (49–55)
Week 8	3.5 (1–6)	7 (4–9.5)	5 (2–8)	13 (11–15)	20 (12–28)	25 (19.5–31)	52 (45.5–59)	48 (45–51.5)	57.5 (54–61)	53 (50.5–56)
Week 16	5 (2–7)	6 (4–8)	5 (3–7)	11 (8.5–13)	20 (12.5–27)	24 (20–28)	51.5 (47–56)	46 (42.5–50)	56 (52–60)	51 (48.5–54)
Week 24	4 (0.5–8)	6 (4.5–7.5)	5 (2–8)	12.5 (10–15)	20 (13–26.5)	25 (20–29.5)	50 (44–55.5)	49 (45–53.5)	55 (52–58.5)	53 (50–56)

Notes: Values are medians with 95% CIs in parentheses. Standard errors for medians calculated by bootstrapping. The results from all five imputations were combined.

<sup>a</sup>Caregiver depression as rated by the BDI; higher scores indicate more reported depressive symptoms.

<sup>b</sup>Caregiver distress as rated by the NPI; higher scores indicate greater reported caregiver distress.

<sup>c</sup>Caregiver burden as rated by the Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI); higher scores indicate more reported burden of caregiving.

<sup>d</sup>Caregiver quality of life as rated by the SF-12; higher scores indicate higher reported quality of life.

sertraline treatment was not related to significantly greater benefits for caregivers.

### Patient Remission Status and Caregiver Outcomes

Median caregiver outcome scores for baseline and weeks 8, 16, and 24 by patient remission status are in Table 4. Caregiver depression, burden, and mental quality of life scores remained rather steady during the study period across remission statuses, but there was some change in the remitter group for physical quality of life. The median distress score for caregivers of remitters decreased 10 points from baseline to week 24, and caregivers of patients who did not remit decreased by 6.5 points.

The results of the mixed model analysis of the change over time in caregiver outcome scores by remission status are in Table 5. Differences existed at baseline between caregivers of those who would be remitters at week 12 versus those who would not. At baseline, caregivers of patients who were in remission at week 12 had significantly lower distress ratings than the caregivers of patients that were not remitters at week 12 per the difference in scores coefficient of  $-0.48$  (95% CI:  $-0.94$  to  $-0.01$ ;  $t = -2.02$ ,  $df = 128$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ). Caregivers of remitters also had significantly higher scores on the physical component of the quality of life scale at baseline (coefficient:  $4.16$ ; 95% CI:  $0.20$ – $8.12$ ;  $t = 2.05$ ,  $df = 128$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ). The rates of change for four of five caregiver outcomes did not significantly differ by patient remission status (for estimates, see Table 5). Only for caregiver distress did both caregivers of remitters and nonremitters significantly decline over the 24 weeks per the negative placebo change coefficient of  $-0.18$  (95% CI:  $-0.24$  to  $-0.12$ ;  $t = -6.27$ ,  $df = 915$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) and the negative difference in rates of change between the two groups (remitters – nonremitters). This result also reveals that distress ratings decreased faster in the caregivers of patients who were in remission at week 12 (difference coefficient:  $-0.20$ ; 95% CI:  $-0.32$  to  $-0.07$ ;  $t = -3.18$ ,  $df = 915$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ).

## DISCUSSION

In this 24-week randomized controlled trial of sertraline for dAD, caregiver distress declined over time for caregivers of both treatment groups and at similar rates. Notably, while placebo caregivers had significant

**TABLE 5. Regression Coefficients (95% CI) for the Associations Between Week 12 Patient Remission Status (Remitter vs. Nonremitter) and Caregiver Outcomes at Baseline and Week 24**

Model Variable	Caregiver Outcome									
	Depression (BDI) <sup>a</sup>		Distress (NPI-Distress) <sup>b</sup>		Burden (ZBI) <sup>c</sup>		Quality of Life <sup>d</sup>			
	Coefficient	p	Coefficient	p	Coefficient	p	Physical (SF-12-Physical)		Mental (SF-12-Mental)	
							Coefficient	p	Coefficient	p
Baseline scores for caregivers of nonremitters	1.50 (1.01–1.99)	<0.01	4.58 (3.97–5.19)	<0.01	23.41 (16.58–30.23)	<0.01	46.14 (40.54–51.74)	<0.01	50.88 (45.87–55.89)	<0.01
Difference in baseline scores between caregiver groups (remitters – nonremitters)	–0.27 (–0.64–0.09)	0.14	–0.48 (–0.94 to –0.01)	0.04	–3.74 (–8.98–1.50)	0.16	4.16 (0.20–8.12)	0.04	2.79 (–1.40–6.98)	0.19
Linear slope over 24 weeks (change in scores) for caregivers of nonremitters	–0.01 (–0.01–0.00)	0.13	–0.18 (–0.24 to –0.12)	<0.01	–0.04 (–0.13–0.05)	0.43	–0.01 (–0.11–0.09)	0.82	0.03 (–0.08–0.14)	0.63
Difference in slopes between groups (remitters – nonremitters)	0.00 (–0.02–0.01)	0.72	–0.20 (–0.32 to –0.07)	<0.01	–0.03 (–0.23–0.16)	0.72	–0.10 (–0.30–0.10)	0.31	–0.08 (–0.27–0.12)	0.45

Notes: Estimation by mixed model regression with random intercept and slope for participant; p values are from fixed effects t tests. Models controlled for years of education of the patient.

<sup>a</sup>Caregiver depression as rated by the BDI; higher scores indicate more reported depressive symptoms. A log transformation of the outcome was used for analysis.

<sup>b</sup>Caregiver distress as rated by the NPI; higher scores indicate greater reported caregiver distress. Square root transformations of the outcome and the time predictor were used for analysis.

<sup>c</sup>Caregiver burden as rated by the Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI); higher scores indicate more reported burden of caregiving.

<sup>d</sup>Caregiver quality of life as rated by the SF-12; higher scores indicate higher reported quality of life.



## *Improved Caregiver Well Being*

improvement in levels of caregiver depression during the 24-week study period, sertraline caregivers' depression levels remained relatively unchanged over the same amount of time. Caregiver depression severity (per the BDI) was very modest for both caregiver groups, so the differential improvement from 6 on the BDI to a lower score is of unclear clinical meaning and significance. A "floor effect" could also be involved.

The finding of improvement in caregiver distress but not depression contrasts with findings in studies that behavioral interventions are effective in reducing depression in both AD patients and their caregivers.<sup>27–29</sup> It also differs from the original DIADS where caregiver burden and depression decreased regardless of treatment assignment.<sup>41</sup> This might be related to methodological differences because DIADS had a much smaller sample size and this study had multiple sites. However, the lack of sertraline effects on caregiver outcomes do correspond with DIADS-2 reports that indicated no effect of sertraline on patient-centered outcomes<sup>32–34</sup> as well as with other studies that demonstrated sertraline has been ineffective in treating depression in dementia<sup>42</sup> and show inconsistent effects of antidepressants on caregiver burden.<sup>30</sup> In the context of a commonly held belief that pharmacologic treatments for depression are superior to nonpharmacologic interventions, these findings could have major policy implications.

Caregivers of patients whose depression remitted were less distressed than caregivers of patients who did not remit both before treatment (baseline) and at the time depression remission was noted (week 12). Yet, even after accounting for better caregiver well being at baseline, caregiver distress still improved more if the depression of the patients they cared for remitted, thereby providing evidence that the correlation between remission and lessened caregiver distress is robust. These findings also seem to imply that patient and caregiver outcomes are closely linked and bolster the arguments made by others that improvements in patient depression can improve caregiver well being.<sup>27,28</sup> There were no differences in baseline levels of caregiver distress, depression, burden, and quality of life among the randomly assigned treatment groups, but there were baseline differences among remission status groups. Findings intimate the import of further exploration of the potential effects of initial caregiver well being levels on dAD patient outcomes.

Participation in the study itself could have been the primary explanation for the positive effects. Perhaps the psychosocial intervention or the combination of the drug treatment with the psychosocial intervention had an effect.<sup>43</sup> Results may also be related to interactions with study staff or autoregression, but the study was not designed to distinguish among these.

Although the data were collected from multiple sites across the United States, the sample was clinic-based and results may not be generalized to all caregivers of Alzheimer patients with depression. In addition, the study was originally powered for patient, not caregiver, outcomes. The analysis of associations between patient remission and later caregiver outcomes is observational and could be confounded by unknown factors related to remission status.

This study adds to the literature by being one of the first to consider the close relationships between pharmacologic treatment for depression, caregiver well being, and remission of depression in dAD patients. Furthermore, this study confirms the importance of including caregiver measures in dementia clinical trials. Their inclusion can improve understanding about patient outcomes most affected by caregivers and vice versa. Finally, this report responds to calls in the literature to increase caregiver research in geriatric psychiatry<sup>44</sup> and to include caregiver burden as part of clinical trials.<sup>30</sup> Future drug trials should include psychosocial or behavioral interventions in the study design with methods to extract effects of the intervention on patient and caregiver outcomes.

*Grant funding was provided by National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the Office of Research on Women's Health (K12HD055885) and National Institute of Mental Health (1U01MH066136, 1U01MH068014, 1U01MH066174, 1U01MH066175, 1U01MH066176, 1U01MH066177). National Institute of Mental Health scientific collaborators participated on the trial's Steering Committee. Sertraline and matching placebo were provided by Pfizer, Inc. Pfizer did not participate in the design or conduct of the trial. Manisha Hong, Pharm.D., at Johns Hopkins Hospital Investigational Drug Service packaged and shipped the drugs.*

*The following disclosures refer to the period between July 1, 2002 and October 31, 2008 and include any anticipated conflicts through December 31, 2009, according to the DIADS-2 Conflict of Interest Policy (available upon request from the study Principle Investigator). Dr. Martin*

is involved in another trial for which Pfizer donated a different drug. Dr. Rosenberg has received research funds from Pfizer and Merck in amounts greater than \$10,000. Dr. Mintzer has received research support from Abbot to study donepezil and divalproex sodium, from AstraZeneca to study quetiapine, from BMS to study aripiprazole, from Eli Lilly to study olanzapine, from Forest to study both citalopram and memantine, from Janssen to study galantamine and risperidone, and from Pfizer to study donepezil and memantine. Dr. Mintzer also has been a consultant, paid directly or indirectly, for AstraZeneca, BMS, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Pfizer, Forest, and Aventis. He also has been an unpaid consultant for Targacept and has participated in Speaker's Bureaus for Janssen, Forest, and Pfizer. Dr. Weintraub has received research support from Boehringer Ingelheim. Dr. Weintraub also has been a paid consultant for Acadia Pharmaceuticals, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Osmotica Pharmaceutical, Brain-Cells Inc., EMD Serono, and Sanofi Aventis and has participated on a Speaker's Bureau for Pfizer. Dr. Porsteinsson is involved in research sponsored by Pfizer to study donepezil and PF04494700, Eli Lilly to study

atomoxetine, a gamma-secretase inhibitor and a beta-amyloid antibody, Wyeth to study a beta-amyloid antibody, GSK to study a PPAR inhibitor. and Forest to study memantine and neramexane. Dr. Porsteinsson has been a paid consultant and participated on a Speaker's Bureau for Pfizer and Forest. Dr. Schneider is involved in research sponsored by Pfizer, the manufacturer of sertraline and other drugs used to treat mood disorders. Dr. Schneider has been a paid consultant for Abbott, AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Forest, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson and Johnson, Lundbeck, Merck, and Wyeth, manufacturers of antidepressants or drugs used to treat mood disorders. Dr. Rabins has participated on Speaker's Bureaus for Wyeth, Eli Lilly, and Pfizer. Dr. Meinert is involved in another trial for which Pfizer donated a different drug and also owns shares of GSK stock. Dr. Lyketsos was involved in another trial for which Pfizer donated a different drug and also was involved in research sponsored by Forest to study escitalopram and citalopram and Pfizer to study sertraline and donepezil. Dr. Lyketsos served as a consultant for Organon, Eisai, GSK, Lilly, Wyeth, and Pfizer. All other authors report no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Alzheimer's Association: Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer Dement* 2011; 7:1–68
2. Rocca P, Leotta D, Liffredo C, et al: Neuropsychiatric symptoms underlying caregiver stress and insight in Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2010; 30:57–63
3. Brookmeyer R, Johnson E, Ziegler-Graham K, et al: Forecasting the global burden of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer Dement* 2007; 3:186–191
4. Bell CM, Araki SS, Neumann PJ: The association between caregiver burden and caregiver health-related quality of life in Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2001; 15:129–136
5. Miller EA, Rosenheck RA, Schneider LS: Caregiver burden, health utilities, and institutional service costs among community-dwelling patients with Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2010; 24:380–389
6. Mohamed S, Rosenheck R, Lyketsos CG, et al: Caregiver burden in Alzheimer disease: cross-sectional and longitudinal patient correlates. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010; 18:917–927
7. Schulz R, Martire LM: Family caregiving of persons with dementia: prevalence, health effects, and support strategies. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2004; 12:240–249
8. Starkstein SE, Romina M, Power BD: Depression in Alzheimer's disease: phenomenology, clinical correlates and treatment. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 2008; 20:382–388
9. Lyketsos CG, Lopez O, Jones B, et al: Prevalence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia and mild cognitive impairment: results from the cardiovascular health study. *JAMA* 2002; 288:1475–1483
10. Steinberg M, Sheppard JM, Tschanz JT, et al: The incidence of mental and behavioral disturbances in dementia: the Cache County study. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2003; 15:340–345
11. Burns A: Psychiatric phenomena in dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Int Psychogeriatr* 1992; 4:43–54
12. Paquette I: Psychiatric manifestations in dementia: phenomenologic perspectives. *Can J Psychiatry* 1993; 38:671–677
13. Lyketsos CG, Sheppard JM, Steele CD, et al: Randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial of sertraline in the treatment of depression complicating Alzheimer's disease: initial results from the Depression in Alzheimer's Disease study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; 157:1686–1689
14. González-Salvador T, Lyketsos CG, Baker A, et al: Quality of life in dementia patients in long-term care. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2000; 15:181–189
15. Chung JA, Cummings JL: Neurobehavioral and neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease: characteristics and treatment. *Neurol Clin* 2000; 18:829–846
16. Lyketsos CG, Steele C, Baker L, et al: Major and minor depression in Alzheimer's disease: prevalence and impact. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1997; 9:556–561
17. Starkstein SE, Jorge R, Mizrahi R, et al: The construct of minor and major depression in Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005; 162:2086–2093
18. Steele C, Rovner B, Chase GA, et al: Psychiatric symptoms and nursing home placement of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry* 1990; 147:1049–1051
19. González-Salvador MT, Arango C, Lyketsos CG, et al: The stress and psychological morbidity of the Alzheimer patient caregiver. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1999; 14:701–710
20. Neundorfer MM, McClendon MJ, Smyth KA, et al: A longitudinal study of the relationship between levels of depression among persons with Alzheimer's disease and levels of depression among their family caregivers. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2001; 56:P301–P313

21. Watson LC, Lewis CL, Moore CG, et al: Perceptions of depression among dementia caregivers: findings from the CATIE-AD trial. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2011; 26:397–402
22. Donaldson C, Tarrier N, Burns A: Determinants of carer stress in Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1998; 13:248–256
23. Rocca WA, Petersen RC, Knopman DS, et al: Trends in the incidence and prevalence of Alzheimer's disease, dementia, and cognitive impairment in the United States. *Alzheim Dement* 2011; 7:80–93
24. Teri L: Behavior and caregiver burden: behavioral problems in patients with Alzheimer disease and its association with caregiver distress. *Alzheim Dis Assoc Disord* 1997; 11:S35–S38
25. Livingston G, Johnston K, Katona C, et al: Systematic review of psychological approaches to the management of neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005; 162:1996–2021
26. Selwood A, Johnston K, Katona C, et al: Systematic review of the effect of psychological interventions on family caregivers of people with dementia. *J Affect Dis* 2007; 101:75–89
27. Teri L: Behavioral treatment of depression in patients with dementia. *Alzheim Dis Assoc Disord* 1994; 8:66–74
28. Teri L, McCurry SM, Logsdon RG, et al: Training community consultants to help family members improve dementia care: a randomized controlled trial. *Gerontologist* 2005; 45:802–811
29. Stella F, Canonici AP, Gobbi S, et al: Attenuation of neuropsychiatric symptoms and caregiver burden in Alzheimer's disease by motor intervention: a controlled trial. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 2011; 66:1353–1360
30. Levy K, Lanctôt KL, Farber SB, et al: Does pharmacological treatment of neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease relieve caregiver burden? *Drugs Aging* 2012; 29:167–179
31. Martin BK, Frangakis CE, Rosenberg PB, et al: Design of Depression in Alzheimer's Disease Study-2. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006; 14:920–930
32. Drye LT, Martin BK, Frangakis CE, et al: Do treatment effects vary among differing baseline depression criteria in depression in Alzheimer's disease study ± 2 (DIADS-2)? *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2011; 26:573–583
33. Rosenberg PB, Drye LT, Martin BK, et al: Sertraline for the treatment of depression in Alzheimer disease. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010; 18:136–145
34. Weintraub D, Rosenberg PB, Drye LT, et al: Sertraline for the treatment of depression in Alzheimer disease: week 24 outcomes. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2010; 18:332–340
35. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, et al: An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1961; 4:561–571
36. Cummings JL: The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: assessing psychopathology in dementia patients. *Neurology* 1997; 48: S10–S16
37. Zarit SH, Reever K, Bach-Peterson J: Relatives of impaired elderly: correlates of feelings of burden. *Gerontologist* 1980; 20:373–377
38. Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD: A 12-item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care* 1996; 34:220–233
39. Rubin DB: *Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys*. New York, NY, John Wiley & Sons, 1996
40. Rubin DB: Multiple imputation after 18+ years. *J Am Stat Assoc* 1996; 91:473–489
41. Rosenberg PB, Mielke MM, Lyketsos CG: Caregiver assessment of patients' depression in Alzheimer disease: longitudinal analysis in a drug treatment study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2005; 13: 822–826
42. Banerjee S, Hellier J, Dewey M, et al: Sertraline or mirtazapine for depression in dementia (HTA-SADD): a randomised, multi-centre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; 378: 403–411
43. Mittelman MS, Brodaty H, Wallen AS, et al: A three-country randomized controlled trial of a psychosocial intervention for caregivers combined with pharmacological treatment for patients with Alzheimer disease: effects on caregiver depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2008; 16:893–904
44. Vitaliano PP, Katon W, Unützer J: Making the case for caregiver research in geriatric psychiatry. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2005; 13: 834–843